CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6415

58th Legislature 2004 Regular Session

Passed by the Senate March 10, 2004 YEAS 49 NAYS 0	CERTIFICATE
	I, Milton H. Doumit, Jr., Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby
President of the Senate	certify that the attached is ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL
Passed by the House March 9, 2004 YEAS 95 NAYS 1	6415 as passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives of the dates hereon set forth.
Speaker of the House of Representatives	Secretary
Approved	FILED
	Secretary of State State of Washington
Governor of the State of Washington	

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6415

AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

Passed Legislature - 2004 Regular Session

State of Washington 58th Legislature

2004 Regular Session

By Senate Committee on Natural Resources, Energy & Water (originally sponsored by Senators Morton, Doumit, Hewitt, Hargrove, Honeyford, T. Sheldon, Hale, Murray and Stevens)

READ FIRST TIME 02/09/04.

14

15

16

17

- 1 AN ACT Relating to conditioning industrial and construction storm
- 2 water general discharge permits; adding new sections to chapter 90.48
- 3 RCW; creating new sections; and providing an expiration date.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. (1) The legislature finds that the federal permit program under the federal clean water act, 33 U.S.C. Sec. 1251 6 et seq., and the state water pollution control laws provide numerous 7 8 environmental and public health benefits to the citizens of Washington The legislature also finds that failure to prevent 9 and to the state. 10 and control pollution discharges, including those associated with storm 11 water runoff, can degrade water quality and damage the environment, 12 public health, and industries dependent on clean water such as shellfish production. 13
 - (2) The legislature finds the nature of storm water presents unique challenges and difficulties in meeting the permitting requirements under the federal clean water act, 33 U.S.C. Sec. 1251 et seq., including compliance with technology and water quality-based standards.

p. 1

18 (3) The legislature finds that the federal clean water act, 33 19 U.S.C. Sec. 1251 et seq., requires certain larger construction sites and industrial facilities to obtain storm water permits under the national pollutant discharge elimination system permit program. The legislature also finds that under phase two of this program, smaller construction sites are also required to obtain storm water permits for their discharges.

- (4) The legislature finds the department of ecology has been using general permits to permit categories of similar dischargers, including storm water associated with industrial and construction activities. The legislature also finds general permits must comply with all applicable requirements of the federal clean water act, 33 U.S.C. Sec. 1251 et seq., and the state water pollution control act including technology and water quality-based permitting requirements. The legislature further finds general permits may not always be the best solution for an individual discharger, especially when establishing water quality-based permitting requirements.
- (5) The legislature finds that where sources within a specific category or subcategory of dischargers are subject to water quality-based limits imposed under the federal clean water act, 33 U.S.C. Sec. 1251 et seq., the sources in that specific category or subcategory must be subject to the same water quality-based limits.
- (6) For this reason, the legislature encourages, to the extent allowed under existing state and federal law, an adaptive management approach to permitting storm water discharges.
- (7) The legislature finds that storm water management must satisfy state and federal water quality requirements while also providing for flexibility in meeting such requirement to help ensure cost-effective storm water management.
- (8) The legislature finds that the permitting of new and existing dischargers into waters listed under 33 U.S.C. Sec. 1313(d) (section 303(d) of the federal clean water act) presents specific challenges and is subject to additional permitting restrictions under the federal clean water act, 33 U.S.C. Sec. 1251 et seq.
- (9) The legislature declares that general permits can be an effective and efficient permitting mechanism for permitting large numbers of similar dischargers.
- 36 (10) The legislature declares that an inspection and technical 37 assistance program for industrial and construction storm water general

- 1 permits is needed to ensure an effective permitting program. The
- 2 legislature also declares that such a program should be fully funded to
- 3 ensure its success.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

20

21

24

2526

2728

- 4 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 2.** A new section is added to chapter 90.48 RCW to read as follows:
 - The provisions of this section apply to the construction and industrial storm water general permits issued by the department pursuant to the federal clean water act, 33 U.S.C. Sec. 1251 et seq., and this chapter.
 - (1) Effluent limitations shall be included in construction and industrial storm water general permits as required under the federal clean water act, 33 U.S.C. Sec. 1251 et seq., and its implementing regulations. In accordance with federal clean water act requirements, pollutant specific, water quality-based effluent limitations shall be included in construction and industrial storm water general permits if there is a reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of a state water quality standard.
- 18 (2) Subject to the provisions of this section, both technology and 19 water quality-based effluent limitations may be expressed as:
 - (a) Numeric effluent limitations;
 - (b) Narrative effluent limitations; or
- 22 (c) A combination of numeric and narrative effluent discharge 23 limitations.
 - (3) The department must condition storm water general permits for industrial and construction activities issued under the national pollutant discharge elimination system of the federal clean water act to require compliance with numeric effluent discharge limits when such discharges are subject to:
- 29 (a) Numeric effluent limitations established in federally adopted, 30 industry-specific effluent guidelines;
- 31 (b) State developed, industry-specific performance-based numeric 32 effluent limitations;
- 33 (c) Numeric effluent limitations based on a completed total maximum 34 daily load analysis or other pollution control measures; or
 - (d) A determination by the department that:
- 36 (i) The discharges covered under either the construction or

- industrial storm water general permits have a reasonable potential to cause or contribute to violation of state water quality standards; and
- (ii) Effluent limitations based on nonnumeric best management practices are not effective in achieving compliance with state water quality standards.
- (4) In making a determination under subsection (3)(d) of this section, the department shall use procedures that account for:
 - (a) Existing controls on point and nonpoint sources of pollution;
- 9 (b) The variability of the pollutant or pollutant parameter in the storm water discharge; and
 - (c) As appropriate, the dilution of the storm water in the receiving waters.
 - (5) Narrative effluent limitations requiring both the implementation of best management practices, when designed to satisfy the technology and water quality-based requirements of the federal clean water act, 33 U.S.C. Sec. 1251 et seq., and compliance with water quality standards, shall be used for construction and industrial storm water general permits, unless the provisions of subsection (3) of this section apply.
 - (6) Compliance with water quality standards shall be presumed, unless discharge monitoring data or other site specific information demonstrates that a discharge causes or contributes to violation of water quality standards, when the permittee is:
 - (a) In full compliance with all permit conditions, including planning, sampling, monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping conditions; and
 - (b)(i) Fully implementing storm water best management practices contained in storm water technical manuals approved by the department, or practices that are demonstrably equivalent to practices contained in storm water technical manuals approved by the department, including the proper selection, implementation, and maintenance of all applicable and appropriate best management practices for on-site pollution control.
- 33 (ii) For the purposes of this section, "demonstrably equivalent"
 34 means that the technical basis for the selection of all storm water
 35 best management practices are documented within a storm water pollution
 36 prevention plan. The storm water pollution prevention plan must
 37 document:

- 1 (A) The method and reasons for choosing the storm water best 2 management practices selected;
 - (B) The pollutant removal performance expected from the practices selected;
 - (C) The technical basis supporting the performance claims for the practices selected, including any available existing data concerning field performance of the practices selected;
 - (D) An assessment of how the selected practices will comply with state water quality standards; and
 - (E) An assessment of how the selected practices will satisfy both applicable federal technology-based treatment requirements and state requirements to use all known, available, and reasonable methods of prevention, control, and treatment.
 - (7)(a) The department shall modify the industrial storm water general permit to require compliance by May 1, 2009, with appropriately derived numeric water quality-based effluent limitations for existing discharges to water bodies listed as impaired according to 33 U.S.C. Sec. 1313(d) (Sec. 303(d) of the federal clean water act, 33 U.S.C. Sec. 1251 et seq.).
 - (b) No later than September 1, 2008, the department shall report to the appropriate committees of the legislature specifying how the numeric effluent limitation in (a) of this subsection would be implemented. The report shall identify the number of dischargers to impaired water bodies and provide an assessment of anticipated compliance with the numeric effluent limitation established by (a) of this subsection.
 - (8)(a) Construction and industrial storm water general permits issued by the department shall include an enforceable adaptive management mechanism that includes appropriate monitoring, evaluation, and reporting. The adaptive management mechanism shall include elements designed to result in permit compliance and shall include, at a minimum, the following elements:
- 33 (i) An adaptive management indicator, such as monitoring 34 benchmarks;
- 35 (ii) Monitoring;

- 36 (iii) Review and revisions to the storm water pollution prevention
 37 plan;
 - (iv) Documentation of remedial actions taken; and

(v) Reporting to the department.

- (b) Construction and industrial storm water general permits issued by the department also shall include the timing and mechanisms for implementation of treatment best management practices.
- (9) Construction and industrial storm water discharges authorized under general permits must not cause or have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to a violation of an applicable water quality standard. Where a discharge has already been authorized under a national pollutant discharge elimination system storm water permit and it is later determined to cause or have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to the violation of an applicable water quality standard, the department may notify the permittee of such a violation.
- (10) Once notified by the department of a determination of reasonable potential to cause or contribute to the violation of an applicable water quality standard, the permittee must take all necessary actions to ensure future discharges do not cause or contribute to the violation of a water quality standard and document those actions in the storm water pollution prevention plan and a report timely submitted to the department. If violations remain or recur, coverage under the construction or industrial storm water general permits may be terminated by the department, and an alternative general permit or individual permit may be issued. Compliance with the requirements of this subsection does not preclude any enforcement activity provided by the federal clean water act, 33 U.S.C. Sec. 1251 et seq., for the underlying violation.
- (11) Receiving water sampling shall not be a requirement of an industrial or construction storm water general permit except to the extent that it can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of persons conducting the sampling.
- 30 (12) The department may authorize mixing zones only in compliance 31 with and after making determinations mandated by the procedural and 32 substantive requirements of applicable laws and regulations.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 90.48 RCW to read as follows:
- 35 The provisions of this section apply to the construction and 36 industrial storm water general permits issued by the department

pursuant to the federal clean water act, 33 U.S.C. Sec. 1251 et seq., and this chapter.

- (1) By January 1, 2005, the department shall initiate an inspection and compliance program for all permittees covered under the construction and industrial storm water general permits. The program shall include, but may not be limited to, the:
- (a) Provision of compliance assistance and survey for evidence of permit violations and violations of water quality standards;
- (b) Identification of corrective actions for actual or imminent discharges that violate or could violate the state's water quality standards;
- (c) Monitoring of the development and implementation of storm water pollution prevention plans and storm water monitoring plans;
- (d) Identification of dischargers who would benefit from follow-up inspection or compliance assistance programs; and
- (e) Collection and analysis of discharge and receiving water samples whenever practicable and when deemed appropriate by the department, and other evaluation of discharges to determine the potential for causing or contributing to violations of water quality standards.
- (2) The department's inspections under this section shall be conducted without prior notice to permittees whenever practicable.
- (3) Follow-up inspections shall be conducted by the department to ensure that corrective and other actions as identified in the course of initial inspections are being carried out. The department shall also take such additional actions as are necessary to ensure compliance with state and federal water quality requirements, provided that all permittees must be inspected once within two years of the start of this program and each permittee must be inspected at least once each permit cycle thereafter.
- (4) Permittees must be prioritized for inspection based on the development of criteria that include, but are not limited to, the following factors:
- (a) Compliance history, including submittal or nonsubmittal of discharge monitoring reports;
 - (b) Monitoring results in relationship to permit benchmarks; and
 - (c) Discharge to impaired waters of the state.

- 1 (5) Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the 2 department's enforcement discretion.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. No later than December 31, 2006, the 3 department of ecology shall submit a report to the appropriate 4 5 committees of the legislature regarding methods to improve the 6 effectiveness of permit monitoring requirements in construction and 7 industrial storm water general permits. The department of ecology shall study and evaluate how monitoring requirements could be improved 8 9 to determine the effectiveness of storm water best management practices 10 and compliance with state water quality standards. In this study the 11 department also shall evaluate monitoring requirements that are 12 necessary for determining compliance or noncompliance with state water quality standards and shall evaluate the feasibility of including such 13 monitoring in future permits. When conducting this study, the 14 department shall consult with experts in the fields of monitoring, 15 16 storm water management, and water quality, and when necessary the 17 department shall conduct field work to evaluate the practicality and usefulness of alternative monitoring proposals. 18
- 19 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 5.** A new section is added to chapter 90.48 RCW 20 to read as follows:
 - (1) The department shall establish permit fees for construction and industrial storm water general permits as necessary to fund the provisions of sections 2 and 3 of this act. When calculating appropriate fee amounts, the department shall take into consideration differences between large and small businesses and the economic impacts caused by permit fees on those businesses. Fees established under this section shall be adopted in accordance with chapter 34.05 RCW.
 - (2) In its biennial discharge fees progress report required by RCW 90.48.465, the department shall include a detailed accounting regarding the method used to establish permit fees, the amount of permit fees collected, and the expenditure of permit fees. The detailed accounting shall include data on inspections conducted and the staff hired to implement the provisions of sections 2 and 3 of this act.
- 34 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 6.** If any portion of sections 2 and 3 of this

23

24

25

26

27

2829

30

31

- 1 act are found to be in conflict with the federal clean water act, that
- 2 portion alone is void.
- 3 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 7.** This act expires January 1, 2015.
- 4 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 8.** If specific funding for the purposes of this
- 5 act, referencing this act by bill or chapter number, is not provided by
- 6 June 30, 2004, in the omnibus appropriations act, this act is null and
- 7 void.

--- END ---